

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). Fl	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,022 07/03/2002		07/03/2002	Francois Girard	0502-1003	4939
466	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	& THOMP		BENENSON, BORIS		
745 SOUT 2ND FLO	'H 23RD ST OR	REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, VA 2	22202	2836		
·				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/088,022	GIRARD, FRANCOIS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Boris Benenson	2836		

	Bons Benenson	2000						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follot places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete.</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
following time periods:	an en la trans	•						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	) <b>.</b>							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>8</u> would be allowa non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Anneal will r	of he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	ivit or other evidence i	s necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:	(, , ) - 10-14-0/1 aper							
		BRIAN SIRCU	e e					
	SI	JPERVISORY PATENT	-					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Claim 15 that requires Zener to be operative after varistor is disconnected present new issue and requires additional search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that only "a proper selection of Zener diode and varistor will lead to the result achieved by the invention". In opinion of Examiner it will not be undue experimentation to properly select elements.